**Requirements Validation Session**

**Goal:** What is the quality of the Product Backlog?

|  |
| --- |
| Team X validates the work of Team Y, review the feedback, and improve its product backlog |

*Give access to the Product Backlog to the other team*

**Criteria to evaluate product backlog quality:**

* Format
* Confusion
* Consistency
* Completeness
* Amalgamation
* Size
* Priority
* Type
* Etc.
* Check for the definition in the IEEE document in Classes

**Methodologies:**

* Step1: Read the product backlog
* Step2: Put comments on ways to improve the Product Backlog directly in the document.
* Step3: Draw the web app UI as you perceive it from the US. [Optional Step 2: You could draw your idea of the proposed app and compare it with the app described by the team.]

|  |
| --- |
| Draw the UI here |

* Step4: Complete the provided table with the traffic light evaluation mechanism.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Red (poor)**  **/Orange (average) /Green (good)** | **Comments** |
| How many US are there total? | X |  |
| How many functional US are there? | X |  |
| How many non-functional US are there? | X |  |
| List of the users of the app |  |  |
| Are the US about the WHAT? (Not the HOW) |  |  |
| Are the US following the correct format for US? (As … I should be able to … so that …) |  |  |
| Are Functional and Non Functional US used well? |  |  |
| Is the Size of US present? |  |  |
| Is the Priority of US present? |  |  |
| Is the product backlog complete? (No missing US) |  |  |
| Is the product backlog consistent? (No inconsistencies) |  |  |
| Does the product backlog avoid amalgamation? (We want to avoid amalgamation) |  |  |
| Does the product backlog avoid redundancy? (We want to avoid redundancy) |  |  |
| What is the overall quality of the product backlog? |  |  |

* Step5: Use ChatGPT to evaluate the quality of the product backlog.

|  |
| --- |
| ChatGPT prompt(s)  Summarize the feedback of ChatGPT |

Step6: What is your overall feedback for the team?

|  |
| --- |
|  |